Last Time: L:V->W Inear Ker (L) = {v & V : L(v) = 0 m3. ran (L) = {L(v) : vEW}. Prop: L:V->W liver. D L is injectue iff ter(L)=0 D L is surjectue iff ran(L)=W. NB: A bijetive liver map (ie. a liver map which is both injective and surjective) is a linear isomorphism... Very important... Prop (Rank-Nullity Formula): Suppose L: V-sw is a liver map. Then we have d.n(V) = d.n(ker(L)) + d.n(ran(L)). Pf: Let L:V->W be a linear my. Let Bo be a basis for ker(L) < V. Now Bo extents to a basis B=Bo for V. Let A:=B/Bo. Clami L(A) := { L(a): a & A } & ran(L) is a basis of ran(L). Note L(A) spans ran(L) (because every elevet of ran (L) can be expressed as: Mother trick... = L (\(\frac{\xeta}{\xeta\_{\text{EB}}} \cappa\_{\text{beB}} \cappa\_{\text{beB}} \cappa\_{\text{beB}} \cappa\_{\text{beB}} \cappa\_{\text{a}} \alpha\_{\text{a}} \al Point: Break up

the sum by in B, or A = \( \int\_{b\in B\_a}^{C\_b} \L(b) + \( \int\_{a\in A}^{C\_b} \L(a) \) = ON + Excala)

= Zacala)

b/c every expersed in this

was (i.e. using horis) 50 L(A) spans ran(L). To see L(A) is livearly indep., suppose  $\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_i L(a_i) = O_w$ . Thus  $L\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \alpha_i\right) = O_W$ , So  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \alpha_i \in \ker(L)$ . Hence  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i a_i + \left[\sum_{b \in B_o} 0b\right]$  is the unique expression for  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{j} a_{j}$  in terms of the besis B. Bt Sciai ther (L), so ci=0 finalling Hence L(A) is linearly inspelled. This L(A) is a basis for ran(L). But B. UA = B, S. #B: #B,+#A. on the other hand, #B = dim(V), #B = lim(ter(L)) # L(A) = din(ran(L)). Hence, we have dim(V)= dim(ker(L1) + #A) Non ne most show # A = # L (A). If # A > # L(A), then there are a, c' + A with L(a) = L(a'); But then L(a-a') = 0.

50 a-a' + Ker(L), So Bousa, a's is hearly dependent, contradicting our assumption B=BoUA ≥Bougar)
is a basis... Thus # A = #L(A) ≤#A. Hence din (V) = din (Ker(L)) +#A = dim ( ker ( L)) + # L (A) = din (ker(L)) + din(ran(L)) = nullity (L) + rank(L). Ex: Sprose L:V > 1R" has nollity (L) = 7 and L is surjecture. Q: what is dim(V)? Sol: by the rank-nullity framula, dim(v)=nullity(L)+rank(L). nullity (L) =7, and ran(L) = 1R'S, so rank(L) = 15. Hence din(V)=7+15=22. Ex: Sippose L: R3 -> 1R2 is linear. Q: what can rank(L) and nullity(L) he? Sol: The rank-nullity formula yields 3 = dim(1R3) = nollity(L) + sank(L) OTOH, rank(L) ( 30,1, 23. ~ If rank (L) = 1: nullity (L) = 3-1 = 2 If rank (L) = 2: n.11.5/L) = 3-2 = 1 If rank (L)=0: nulling (L) = 3-0=3 This [ Enullity (L) <3). Point Every linear transformation from 1R3-> R2 has

Cot: It man and L: TR^ -> TR^ is liver, then
L is not injective. In fact ... Pf: dim (dor(L)) = dim (ker(L)) + dim(ran(L)), so N = dim (ker(L)) + dim (ram(L)). Movemer, 0 \le dim(van(L)) \le dim(Rm)=n (b/c van(L) \le Rm). Hence n = din(ker(L)) + din(ran(L)) & din(ker(L)) + m So O<n-m & dm(ker(L)). Here ker(L) + 30,3 , So L is not injective. Ex: Let L: V->W be a liver map. Defin for all UEW, L'U:= {veV: L(v) EU}. Prove L'U & V. Q: What can you say about dir(L'U)? Hint: Rank nollity formula, apply to L: L'W-W. Len: Suppose L: V-SW and Q: W-SW are Iner. Then Q.L: V -> U is linear. (i.e. Compositions of liver maps are liver mays). Recall: The Composition of too functions f: A->B and g:B->c is the up g.f:A-1 defined by  $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$  for all  $x \in A$ . Remoderi Composition of functions is associative --.
i.e. h. (get) = (h. og) of. Pf(Len): Exercise " Point: Compositions of liver ups on be used to produce

Defn: A livear isomorphism of vector spaces V and W is a linear up L: V-s W which is bijective. V and W are is omorphic when there is an is morphism between them (and we write  $V \cong W$ ). Exi (laim TR" = Matzx2 (TR). Pf: We construct an explicit isomorphism. Look at boxes  $\xi_{4} = \{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\}$  and  $B = \{b_{1} = \{0, 0\}, b_{2} = \{0, 0\}, b_{3} = \{0, 0\}, b_{4} = \{0, 0\}\}$ . Left to you: B is a basis of Matzxz (R). Defie L: R4 -> Met2x2 (R) by livery extending L(ei) = bi for 1=i=4. Left to you; L(3) = (xy). To see L is injectue:  $\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \iff \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \iff x = y = y = 0$  $\Leftrightarrow$   $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$ . Hence  $\ker(L) = O$ . To see L is sirjective, where ran(L) 2 B, which is a basis for Matzzz (IR), so ran(L) = Matzzz (IR) yields L is sirjective. Hence L is bijecture and Linear, so Lis an isomorphism, yielding Rt = Matzxz (R). 13

NB: Nothing special about this example... All we needed to make this argument was that the vector spaces had the sme dimension! l'isp'. Two vector spaces one isomorphiz if and only if they have the same dinension. pf: Let V and W he vector spaces. (=): Assure V and W are isomorphic. Thus there is an isomorphism L: V->W. Let B be a besig of V. L(B) is a besis for W by the same argument ne mode when proving the rank-nullity formula: B= DUB and \$ 13 a bosis for 300] = ker(L). Hence, by injectivity dim(V)=#B=#L(B)=dim(W). (E) Assume V and W have the same dimension. Let B be a basis of V and A a basis of W. By assumption, #B=dim(V) - din(W)=#A. Let f be any bijection f: B > A. Extend f liverly to F: V -> W (by a previous proposition). Becase A 15 a basis (hence (nearly intepht), one can show ker(F) = 0 (i.e. F is injective). OTOH ran(F) 2 F(B)=A
So ran(F) = W. Hence F is bijecte.